

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Protection of dopamine neurons by CDNF and neurturin variant N4 against MPP+ in dissociated cultures from rat mesencephalon

Jaumotte, Juliann D.

2021-02-03

Jaumotte , J D , Saarma , M & Zigmond , M J 2021 , ' Protection of dopamine neurons by CDNF and neurturin variant N4 against MPP+ in dissociated cultures from rat mesencephalon ' , PLoS One , vol. 16 , no. 2 , 0245663 . <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/333477>

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Protection of dopamine neurons by CDNF and neurturin variant N4 against MPP⁺ in dissociated cultures from rat mesencephalonJuliann D. Jaumotte^{1,2*}, Mart Saarma³, Michael J. Zigmond^{1,2}

1 Department of Neurology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America, **2** Pittsburgh Institute of Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America, **3** Institute of Biotechnology, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

* jaumottejd@pitt.edu

Abstract

Parkinson's disease is associated with the loss of dopamine (DA) neurons in ventral mesencephalon. We have previously reported that no single neurotrophic factor we tested protected DA neurons from the dopaminergic toxin 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP⁺) in dissociated cultures isolated from the P0 rat substantia nigra, but that a combination of five neurotrophic factors was protective. We now report that cerebral DA neurotrophic factor (CDNF) and a variant of neurturin (NRTN), N4, were also not protective when provided alone but were protective when added together. In cultures isolated from the substantia nigra, MPP⁺ (10 μ M) decreased tyrosine hydroxylase-positive cells to $41.7 \pm 5.4\%$ of vehicle control. Although treatment of cultures with 100 ng/ml of either CDNF or N4 individually before and after toxin exposure did not significantly increase survival in MPP⁺-treated cultures, when the two trophic factors were added together at 100 ng/ml each, survival of cells was increased $28.2 \pm 6.1\%$ above the effect of MPP⁺ alone. In cultures isolated from the ventral tegmental area, another DA rich area, a higher dose of MPP⁺ (1 mM) was required to produce an EC₅₀ in TH-positive cells but, as in the substantia nigra, only the combination of CDNF and N4 (100 ng/ml each) was successful at increasing the survival of these cells compared to MPP⁺ alone (by $22.5 \pm 3.5\%$). These data support previous findings that CDNF and N4 may be of therapeutic value for treatment of PD, but suggest that they may need to be administered together.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Jaumotte JD, Saarma M, Zigmond MJ (2021) Protection of dopamine neurons by CDNF and neurturin variant N4 against MPP⁺ in dissociated cultures from rat mesencephalon. PLoS ONE 16(2): e0245663. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663>

Editor: Richard Jay Smeyne, Thomas Jefferson University, UNITED STATES

Received: June 12, 2020

Accepted: January 5, 2021

Published: February 3, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Jaumotte et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data files have been submitted with the manuscript revision.

Funding: MS: Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation-jaes.fi, the Academy of Finland-aka.fi, and NTF Therapeutics, Inc. No website The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. MS: Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, the Academy of Finland, and NTF Therapeutics, Inc The Funders had no role in study design, data

Introduction

Neurotrophic factors (NTFs) have been intensely investigated as possible treatments for various neurological diseases including Parkinson's disease (PD) [1, 2], Huntington's disease [3], amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [4, 5], and Alzheimer's disease [6]. In PD, the dopamine (DA) neurons located in the substantia nigra (SN) are especially vulnerable, and their loss is associated with many of the motor deficits accompanying the disorder [7]. NTFs have been investigated for more than twenty years as protective factors in models of PD. However, although preclinical studies indicate that glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and the

collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: Juliann Jaumotte and Michael Zigmond have no competing interests. Mart Saarma is the inventor in the patent on CDNF that is owned by Finnish start-up company Herantis Pharma Plc. Mart Saarma is a shareholder in Herantis Pharma Plc. Mart Saarma is an inventor in the patent on N4 which is now owned by NTF Therapeutics. Mart Saarma's research group has received funding from NTF Therapeutics. Mart Saarma is not a shareholder or consultant of NTF Therapeutics. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

related factor neurturin (NRTN) can protect DA neurons and restore them after their initial exposure to toxins in models of PD, clinical studies have been disappointing [1, 2, 8]. The failure of these studies may have occurred for a variety of reasons, including a low rate of diffusion of NTFs to surrounding tissue, perhaps due to their binding to heparin sulfate [9–12], an inadequate number of remaining DA neurons in the SN and/or fibers innervating caudate putamen in the advanced stages of the disease in participants in the clinical trial (see reviews [1, 13]), or the clinical trials were too brief to show possible efficacy [14]. However, we postulate an additional reason for the failure of these trials—that multiple NTFs are required to mobilize the cellular machinery needed to protect affected DA neurons from toxic insults, and we have previously reported data that support this explanation [15]. In the present study we examined cerebral DA neurotrophic factor (CDNF) and an engineered form of the NRTN protein, termed N4, using an *in vitro* model of DA loss: dissociated DA neurons obtained from rat pups at postnatal day 0 (P0) and exposed to N-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium iodide (MPP⁺).

CDNF, along with mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor (MANF), is part of a recently discovered family of NTFs [16] that appear to be at least as potent as the well-studied GDNF against certain toxins [17, 18], although acting via a distinct mechanism [19]. CDNF increases survival in a catecholaminergic cell line, PC12 cells, from cell death induced by either the DA neurotoxin 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) [20, 21] or methamphetamine [22]. The NTF also protects DA neurons when administered prior to 6-OHDA in both rats [22–25] and nonhuman primates [18] or to MPTP-treated mice [26].

NTRN, along with GDNF, artemin, and persephin, are members of the GDNF family, a subset of the transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) superfamily of growth factors. NRTN was first identified in 1996 [27] and has many of the properties of GDNF on DA neurons. For example, NRTN increases the survival of DA neurons from SN in culture and protects DA neurons against 6-OHDA and 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) in rodents and non-human primates [28–31] (see also review by Lindholm and co-workers [32]). However, as in the case of GDNF, despite the promising results with NRTN in models of PD, clinical trials that have used an adeno-virus delivery of the NRTN have not been as successful [33, 34]. In order to increase the bioavailability of NRTN, a new variant has been developed by Mart Saarma's research group together with Richard Penn and termed N4. This factor retains the NTF properties of NRTN, but has both reduced binding to heparin sulfate or heparin sulfate proteoglycans and improved stability [35, 36].

Based upon our previous observation of a combination of trophic factors protecting DA neurons from MPP⁺ [15], we have now examined CDNF and N4, both separately and together added to cultures isolated from the SN or the ventral tegmental area (VTA) prepared from P0 rat pups. Our findings suggest that whereas neither of these NTFs are effective when added to our culture preparation separately, they are neuroprotective when administered together prior to the addition of MPP⁺. These results may be of clinical significance when designing NTF-based therapies in PD.

Methods

Reagents

All reagents were purchased from Sigma/Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise specified.

Culture preparation

Culturing procedures were carried out in accordance with the NIH Guide to the Care and Use of Animals and approved by the University of Pittsburgh Animal Care and Use Committee.

Timed-pregnant Sprague Dawley rats were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA, USA) and housed individually at the University of Pittsburgh in standard microisolator cages with food (Prolab Isopro RMH 3000, LabDiet, St. Louis, MO, USA) and water *ad libitum* under a 12-hour dark/light cycle and allowed to give birth.

Postnatal rat cultures were prepared as previously described [37] with minor modifications. In brief, P0 rat pups were euthanized by decapitation and the brains were isolated under sterile conditions into a cold Gey's Balanced Salt Solution. Coronal sections of the mesencephalon were then obtained using a scalpel blade. The SN and VTA were isolated separately from these sections under a dissecting microscope with micro-dissection blades, and the tissue digested with papain (Worthington Biochemical, Lakewood, NJ, USA) and mechanical force. The slurry of cells was passed through a concentration gradient to concentrate neurons and remove debris. The live cells were then determined by trypan blue exclusion and 30,000 live cells/well were plated on 16-well Nunc chambered slides (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) coated with 200 µg/ml poly-d-lysine and 5 µg/ml laminin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in feeding media containing 2% rat serum prepared from the dam post-mortem, 2% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Norcross, GA, USA), GEM 21 NeuroPlex supplement (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA, USA), 0.225% glucose, 1 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin (Life Technologies, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies), 10 mM Hepes, and 0.9 mM sodium pyruvate in basal medium eagle (BME). Cultures were maintained in a 37°C water jacket incubator (Forma Scientific, Inc., Marietta, OH, USA) in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in equilibrium with H₂O. Cultures survived for at least 6 days *in vitro* (DIV 6) under basal conditions without significant loss of DA neurons as defined by immunostaining for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate limiting enzyme in DA synthesis [38]. Thus, to maximize the number of cells in our studies for the MPP⁺ toxicity, we started treatment at DIV4 and determined the number of DA neurons 48 hrs later.

Exposure of primary cultures to NTFs to determine their effect on basal survival

Recombinant human (rh)CDNF expressed and purified from mammalian CHO cells (10–1000 ng/ml), human neurturin mutant, N4 (10–1000 ng/ml) (gift from NTF Therapeutics, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), wild type NTRN (10–1000 ng/ml) (Carrier Free, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) or the appropriate vehicles were added to the cultures on the day of preparation (DIV0) within 60 min after plating the cells. The vehicles in these studies were phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.76 mM KH₂PO₄, 26.83 mM KCl, 137 mM NaCl) for CDFN, 10 mM sodium citrate/150 mM NaCl for N4 or 4 mM HCl for NTRN. Cultures were fixed on DIV6 in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) and 4% sucrose in PBS) for 30 min, then immunostained for TH, and microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) to assess total neurons, and Hoechst 33258 to assess total cells.

Exposure of culture to MPP⁺ and NTF

At DIV4, cultures were exposed to MPP⁺, a neurotoxin that enters DA neurons through its high affinity transporter and interferes with complex I of the mitochondrial transport chain [39–41]. The concentrations of MPP⁺ used were those previously shown to be effective [15], 1 or 10 µM for SN cultures and 1 mM MPP⁺ for VTA cultures. We have found that long term exposure to toxins can increase non-specific damage by either MPP⁺ [15] or 6-OHDA [42]. MPP⁺ or sterile water, the vehicle for MPP⁺, were added to duplicate wells 60 min after the

media had been removed and replaced with fresh feeding media. After a 30-min incubation, all the media was again removed, the wells washed once with feeding media, fresh feeding media added, and the slides returned to the 37°C incubator.

We examined either a *protection* model or a *restoration* model in our studies. To assess *protection*, NTFs (100–500 ng/ml) or the appropriate vehicle control were added 1 hour *prior* to MPP⁺ exposures and again after removing the MPP⁺ at the same concentrations as used for pretreatment. To assess *restoration*, the NTFs (100–500 ng/ml) were added to the cultures only immediately *after* the removal of MPP⁺. In either case, the cultures were fixed 48 hrs after the MPP⁺ treatment and immunostained for TH, MAP2, and Hoechst.

Immunostaining

Slides were washed three times in immunocytochemistry (ICC) wash buffer, a solution of 0.1% Tween 20 (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) and sodium azide in PBS. Next, the slides were incubated for 1 hr in ICC blocking buffer, a solution of 5% BSA (Sigma), 0.1% glycine, 5% goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA), and 0.3% Triton x-100 (BioRad) in PBS with sodium azide. Slides were then incubated in primary antibody overnight. To label the DA neurons we used a rabbit anti-TH antibody (1:5000, Phosphosolutions, cat # 2025-THRAB, Aurora, CO, USA) and to label all neurons we used a chicken anti-MAP2 antibody (1:1000 Fitzgerald Industries International, cat # 20R-2857, Acton, MA, USA). Slides were washed three times in PBS/Tween-20, and then incubated for 2 hr in ICC blocking buffer containing a fluorescently labeled antibody Alexa Fluoro 647 goat anti-chicken (1:1000, Invitrogen, cat # A-21449, Grand Island, NY, USA), Alexa Fluoro 488 goat anti rabbit (1:1000, Invitrogen, cat # A11034), and nuclear stain Hoechst 33258 at 10 µg/ml. All slides were then washed 3 times following antibody treatment with wash buffer and cover slipped with Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL, USA).

H³DA uptake

We measured H³DA uptake to examine the function of the high affinity DA transporter (DAT) using standard techniques [43]. Specifically, cultures from the SN and VTA were treated on DIV4. Cultures were incubated for 1 hr in media at 37°C containing a vehicle of sodium citrate/PBS, 40 µM nomifensine, an inhibitor of DAT, or the combination of CDNF and N4 (100 mg/ml each). After the 1 hr incubation, the solution was changed to Dulbecco's PBS (DPBS) (Life Technologies) containing 5 µM glucose and 100 nM H³DA at 37°C for 15 min. The cultures were then placed on ice and washed 3 times with cold DPBS with glucose followed by addition of an extraction solution consisting of 33% ethanol and 0.4 N perchloric acid for 15 min at 37°C to release the total tritium. The extraction solution was then collected into scintillation vials filled with ScintiSafe cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total tritium present in the solution was then assessed on a scintillation counter (LS6500, Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA, USA).

Data collection and image analysis

Low magnification/high resolution images were taken with MetaMorph Imaging Software (7.4, Universal Imaging, Downingtown, PA, USA) using a Retiga 1300R digital CCD camera (QImaging, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada) on a Nikon TE 2000 inverted fluorescent microscope (Melville, NY, USA) at various magnifications, and enhanced in Photoshop 6.0 (Adobe System Incorporated, San Jose, CA, USA). These images captured approximately 90% of an individually treated well. Cells were counted using MetaMorph software after verifying the accuracy via manual counting of cells in at least one well for every experiment.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the appropriate two-sided post hoc test using SPSS Software (v 25 IBM, New York, NY). Results are presented as mean \pm SEM. To assess basal survival of the cells, the ANOVA was done on data normalized to the untreated control in each experiment followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. For protection analysis, two-way ANOVA for trophic factor and toxin treatment was performed on data normalized to the vehicle control within each experiment. The post hoc analysis was done with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. The analysis of the uptake data was performed on the raw data using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni correction post hoc test. The numbers of experiments are indicated within the text.

Results

Effect of CDNF, NRTN, and N4 on basal survival

Dissociated primary cultures contain a variety of cells both neuronal and glial. We focused on the effects of the trophic factors on the survival of neurons over a total of six days without media changes. Whereas glial cells divide in cultures, thus increasing their numbers, neurons do not divide but slowly die even under the best culture conditions [38]. Thus, comparisons must be made to baselines obtained from cultures incubated for the same amount of time. To label the neurons we used immunofluorescent detection for MAP2, which labels both DA neurons and non-DA neurons, and TH to specifically label the DA neurons.

CDNF (10–1000 ng/ml) alone had no effect on the survival of either the DA neurons or the total number of neurons present in the cultures from either the SN or VTA (Fig 1A), nor was there any noticeable impact on neuronal morphology (Fig 1B). Likewise, neither N4 nor NRTN significantly increased the number of either DA neurons or total neurons isolated from the VTA under basal conditions when added separately. In contrast, 100 ng/ml NRTN significantly increased the survival of MAP2⁺ neurons in SN cultures under basal conditions by $20.3 \pm 5.8\%$ compared to untreated cultures ($p = 0.02$, $n = 3$, Fig 2A) with a maximal increase in survival of 38.8% at a NRTN concentration of 1000 ng/ml ($p < 0.001$, $n = 3$, Fig 2A). NRTN also increased DA neuron survival by $26.6 \pm 5.3\%$ ($p = 0.036$, $n = 3$, Fig 2B) but, as with the MAP2⁺ cells, this effect plateaued at doses of 100 to 1000 ng/ml to $31.9 \pm 5.4\%$ (Fig 2B). N4 increased the survival of MAP2⁺ cells by $23.6 \pm 5.6\%$ at 100 ng/ml ($p = 0.015$, $n = 4$) and up to $52.4 \pm 13.7\%$ with 1000 ng/ml compared to the no treatment control ($p < 0.0001$, $n = 4$, Fig 2A and 2C). The survival of DA neurons in the SN was increased by even greater percentages with N4, $49.2 \pm 8.9\%$ and $80.2 \pm 26.7\%$, for 100 ng/ml ($p = 0.03$, $n = 4$) and 1000 ng/ml ($p < 0.001$, $n = 4$), respectively (Fig 2B and 2D).

Effect of CDNF and N4 on MPP⁺ toxicity in SN cultures

In our previous studies we observed that concentrations of 10 μ M MPP⁺ or lower resulted in a selective decrease in DA neurons in SN cultures after 48 hrs [15]. In order to assess neuroprotection in this paradigm, NTFs were added 1 hr prior to MPP⁺ (1.0–10 μ M) exposure and remained present until the cultures were fixed and immunostained 48 hrs later. This paradigm was different from that used in our study of basal survival in which the trophic factor was added the day the cultures were prepared and remained until the cultures were fixed for a total of 6 days without any media change or refreshing of trophic factors. The exposure to MPP⁺ resulted in a $60 \pm 5.4\%$ loss of DA neurons for 10 μ M. At a concentration of 100 ng/ml neither CDNF nor N4 had a significant effect on the number of DA neurons when added individually in the toxicity paradigm. On the other hand, when 100 ng/ml CDNF and N4 were added




Fig 1. Effect of CDNF on basal survival of DA cells as indicated by TH⁺ immunoreactivity cells after six days in culture. A) Neither cells isolated from the SN (closed bars) nor from the VTA (open bars) were affected by CDNF (0–1000 ng/ml) added the day of culture preparation. Graph represents average of cells compared to untreated control; n = 4 experiments per condition. B) Representative images of cells isolated from the SN (top) or VTA (bottom) cultured for 6 days with or without 1000 ng/ml of CDNF immunostained for TH (green). Scale bars indicate 200 microns.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663.g001>




Fig 2. Effect of NRTN and variant N4 on basal survival of all neurons from the SN as indicated by MAP2 (A) or DA neurons as indicated by TH (B). Both NTRN (closed bars) and N4 (open bars) increased the survival of total neurons and TH⁺ neurons isolated from the SN after six days in culture. The NTFs were added the day of culture preparation within an hour of culture preparation. (* equals $p < 0.05$ or ** = $p < 0.005$, # < 0.05 or ## < 0.005 ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test on data normalized to untreated control within in each treatment; $n = 3$ to 4 experiments per condition.) Representative images of cells isolated from the SN cultured for 6 days with or without 1000 ng/ml of N4 immunostained for MAP2 (C, red) and TH (D, green). Scale bars indicate 200 microns.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663.g002>

simultaneously, we observed a $28.2 \pm 10.5\%$ ($p = 0.04$, $n = 4$) increase in the survival of the toxin-treated DA neurons (Fig 3A and 3C). When SN cultures were treated with a lower concentration of MPP⁺ (1 μ M), a $38.7 \pm 3.1\%$ DA loss was reversed with 100 ng/ml of CDNF and N4 added together (Fig 3B).

Effect of CDNF and N4 on MPP⁺ toxicity in VTA cultures

We have found that in cultures isolated from the VTA, a higher concentration of MPP⁺ is required to kill DA neurons than in SN neurons [15]. 1 mM MPP⁺ significantly decreased the number of DA neurons by $49.3 \pm 4.5\%$ ($p = 0.006$, $n = 6$). As in the SN cultures, CDNF and N4 alone at concentrations of either 100 or 200 ng/ml did not increase the survival of in the VTA DA neurons (Fig 4A and 4B). In contrast, the combination of CDNF and N4 at either 100 or 200 ng/ml increased survival by $22.5 \pm 5.9\%$ and $19.7 \pm 6.3\%$, respectively ($p < 0.05$, $n = 6$ or 7) (Fig 4A and 4B). Although both 100 and 200 ng/ml concentrations were protective, the higher concentration did not offer additional protection. Surprisingly, treatment with 500 ng/ml also did not have any further effect on cell survival and, indeed, some cell death was observed in the control NTFs alone treated cultures, although it was not statistically significant (Fig 4C).

We also did extensive experiments using a paradigm of *restoration*, in which the trophic factors were added individually and in combination only *after* the removal of the MPP⁺ in both the SN and VTA cultures. Although we observed trends in the increase number of DA cells, we did not find a consistent significant restoration.




Fig 3. Protection of DA neurons as indicated by TH isolated from the SN from MPP⁺. 100 ng/ml of CDNF and N4 present 1hr before and for 48 hr after MPP⁺ exposure had a protective effect of the survival of DA neurons. (A) Neither CDNF nor N4 individually had a significant effect on TH⁺ cells survival. However, when the two NTFs were added together the number of TH⁺ cells increased by $28.2 \pm 10.5\%$ against 10 μ M MPP. (B) The combination of CDNF and N4 completely protected DA neurons against 1 μ M MPP⁺ (* = $p < 0.05$ two-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test with Bonferroni correction on data normalized to the vehicle control, $n = 3$ or 4 experiments per condition). (C) Representative images of immunostaining of DA neurons for TH (green) in vehicle treated and CDNF/N4 (100 ng/ml of each) in the presence or absence of 10 μ M MPP⁺. The scale bar indicates 200 microns.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663.g003>

H³DA uptake

One possible explanation for apparent NTF-induced protection from MPP⁺ is an inhibition of the toxin's entry into the cells, which occurs primarily via DAT [44]. To examine this possibility, we measured the uptake of H³DA after a 1 hr incubation with the NTF combination of CDNF plus N4 or vehicle and compared it to cells incubated with 40 μ M nomifensine. Total tritium uptake into the cells was taken as a measure of H³DA uptake. Nomifensine decreased tritium uptake by $74.1 \pm 0.05\%$ ($p < 0.01$, $n = 2$), whereas CDNF plus N4 did not significantly change tritium uptake in either the SN or VTA cultures (Fig 5).

Discussion

Effects of CDNF and N4 on primary DA neurons under basal conditions

PD is typically associated with the degeneration of DA neurons in the ventral mesencephalon. Within that structure, the DA neurons of the SN usually die first; then, as the disease progresses, the neurons in the VTA also die [45]. We had two main objectives when we began to examine CDNF and the NRTN mutant, N4, in postnatal primary cultures. The first was to characterize the effect of CDNF and N4 under basal conditions as well as to compare N4 to




Fig 4. Protection by the combination of CDNF and N4 of DA neurons and indicated by TH isolated from the VTA. NTFs were added 1 hr prior to the addition of 1 mM MPP⁺ and for 48 hrs thereafter at concentrations of (A) 100 ng/ml and (B) 200 ng/ml in cultures isolated from the VTA. The combination of CDNF and N4 significantly increased survival of DA neurons (* $p < 0.05$, two-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test with Bonferroni correction on the cell count, $n = 6-7$ experiments). (C) 500ng/ml of CDNF and/or N4 did not increase survival but did cause some but not significant basal cell loss.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663.g004>

NTRN. There have already been several published reports that have employed *in vitro* models to examine the effects of CDNF [20, 21, 46]. For example, CDNF has been shown not to effect basal survival of motor, sensory, or sympathetic neurons ([23] see supplement). However, studies of *embryonic* DA neurons generally do not examine SN and VTA separately. In contrast, in the studies reported here we employed *postnatal* cultures from the ventral mesencephalon, which allowed us to examine differentiated neurons and, further, to separate the SN and VTA. This separation is critical as we have extensively examined SN versus VTA in regard to basal survival in culture and in the presence of toxins [15, 37, 38, 42, 47]. Moreover, in an adult rat the VTA has 1.5 times the number of DA neurons than the SN [48], and thus a culture prepared from the ventral mesencephalon is also likely to have a higher percentage of VTA DA neurons. In addition, we have found that, during the process of preparing cultures from the SN and VTA, separately, fewer DA neurons survive in the SN than in the VTA, further diluting the population of TH⁺ SN neurons. The differences in the SN and VTA are not limited to the DA neuronal population. Glial cells which include astrocytes, microglial, and oligodendrocytes have different ratios in the two regions (67).

Using separate primary cultures of SN and VTA, we found that CDNF did not have any effect on the survival or morphology of either DA or non-DA neurons from either region under basal conditions. This indicates that CDNF does not have any impact on differentiation, maturation, or morphology of DA neurons *in vitro*, and corresponds to the observation that CDNF did not increase neurite complexity or formation of varicosities [18, 23, 24]. Should CDNF be used as an intervention for PD, these characteristics might be advantageous because there is always the possibility that adding exogenous trophic factors could lead to innervations of other regions of the brain (see our previous discussion of this point [49]) or tumorigenesis [50, 51].




Fig 5. The function of the high affinity DA transporter (DAT) in the presence of 40 μ M nomifensine or the combination of 100ng/ml CDNF and N4. A 1hr incubation of nomifensine significantly decrease uptake of H³DA in cultures isolated from the SN. (* = $p < .01$ Bonferroni post hoc analysis, $n = 2$). The trophic factor combination had no effect on H³DA uptake indicating that protection was not a result of inhibition of DAT.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245663.g005>

In contrast to CDNF, N4 acted similarly to NRTN [52] and GDNF [37] in that it increased the survival of DA neurons in the SN under basal conditions, although no effect of N4 was detected on DA neurons in the VTA under these conditions. The increased survival of DA neurons in the SN was comparable to the magnitude of that we had previously observed with GDNF at a similar concentration [37] and higher than our present observations of NTRN in the same culture system. This is consistent with the fact that GDNF and NRTN are both members of the TGF β superfamily [27, 36, 52, 53]. However, in contrast to the reported lack of effect of GDNF on non-DA midbrain neurons, both NTRN and N4 also increased the survival of non-DA neurons, an effect that was only observed in the SN cultures. The increase in the number of DA neurons, being approximately 32% of neurons in the cultures, was not large enough to account for the NTRN- or N4-induced rise in total of MAP2⁺, indicating that neurons other than DA neurons were also affected. As noted above, most published culture preparations do not separate the SN and VTA [28, 36, 54] and we are not aware of any previous reports of effects of NRTN itself on non-DA neurons from the SN or VTA when those areas were examined separately. Although we did not attempt to identify the type of the non-DA neurons affected by N4, NRTN has been shown to increase survival of cholinergic neurons in embryonic basal forebrain cultures [55], and cholinergic neurons are also found in the SN [56].

The effects of CDNF and N4 in the presence of MPP⁺

The second objective of our studies was to determine if CDNF or N4 could protect against MPP⁺-induced toxicity. In our studies, we exposed cultures to MPP⁺ for only 30 min, rather than leaving the toxin in the medium for 24 hrs or more as is often done by others, because we had found that leaving MPP⁺ for extended time resulted in non-specific cell death [15]. Using this paradigm, we did not observe any protection of DA neurons against MPP⁺ by either CDNF or N4 applied individually at concentrations of 100 or 200 ng/ml in the cultures of cells isolated from the SN or at concentration of 100–500 ng/ml in the cultures from the VTA [15]. Protection against MPP⁺ was only observed when CDNF and N4 were added together. Moreover, this effect appeared to be synergistic, because it was greater than the total of the small, insignificant protection of adding the individual NTF. We also found a synergistic effect in the cultures prepared from the VTA. Protection of DA neurons from the VTA in cultures that did not include SN has not been previously reported. Although we also examined a restoration model by adding the combination of CDNF and N4 after MPP⁺, the trends in protection the outcomes were not statistically significant.

Our results are in contrast to the ability of CDNF alone to protect against DA-specific toxins such as 6-OHDA [18, 23–25, 57, 58] and MPTP [26, 59] *in vivo*. Similarly, NRTN and N4 have been shown to be protective in *in vivo* models using either 6-OHDA and MPTP [29, 36, 52, 60–64]. This apparent distinction between *in vivo* and *in vitro* results is reminiscent of our own observations with several other NTFs [15]. For example, whereas we were able to confirm the neuroprotective properties of GDNF against 6-OHDA and MPTP *in vivo* [37, 42, 65, 66], in our hands GDNF alone did not protect primary DA neurons from rat ventral mesencephalon when the neurons were exposed to MPP⁺ *in vitro*. Instead, GDNF required the presence of several other NTFs to provide its neuroprotective properties under those conditions.

We have postulated that a critical difference between *in vitro* and *in vivo* models is that *in vivo* a large number of endogenous trophic factors are already present and thus able to interact with the exogenous trophic factors as well as the presence of other cell types, including microglial and astrocytes that have the receptors for various trophic factors [15]. The effect of multiple trophic factors on the survival of DA neurons has only been investigated in relatively few

publications to date. In addition to our studies, combinations of TGF β , SHH, and FGF8 [67]; GDNF and BDNF [68]; GDNF and TGF β [69–71]; GDNF and VEGF [72]; GDNF and CDNF [19]; and CDNF and MANF [17] have been examined. If our hypothesis is correct it may have important clinical implications. Specific NTF levels are reduced in the brains of patients of several neurological diseases, including PD [73–76], Alzheimer's [59], schizophrenia [58], and depression [60, 61], and this may preclude the normal interaction between an exogenous NTF and those already present endogenously.

If so, this would suggest that the use of multiple trophic factors, including those that have been genetically engineered to increase their efficacy, such as N4, may be essential for therapeutic treatment of PD. The need for the use of multiple agents to effectively treat a disease would not be unique. Indeed, it has proved essential for the treatment of many forms of cancer [77, 78], HIV/AIDS [79], and pneumonia [80].

Supporting information

S1 File.

(XLSX)

S2 File.

(XLSX)

S3 File.

(XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Pia Runeberg-Roos her helpful comments in the design of our studies and regarding the final manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Juliann D. Jaumotte.

Formal analysis: Juliann D. Jaumotte.

Funding acquisition: Michael J. Zigmond.

Investigation: Juliann D. Jaumotte.

Methodology: Juliann D. Jaumotte.

Resources: Mart Saarma.

Supervision: Mart Saarma, Michael J. Zigmond.

Writing – original draft: Juliann D. Jaumotte.

Writing – review & editing: Juliann D. Jaumotte, Mart Saarma, Michael J. Zigmond.

References

1. Bartus RT, Johnson EM Jr. Clinical tests of neurotrophic factors for human neurodegenerative diseases, part 1: Where have we been and what have we learned? *Neurobiol Dis.* 2017; 97(Pt B):156–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2016.03.027> PMID: 27063798
2. Bartus RT, Johnson EM. Clinical tests of neurotrophic factors for human neurodegenerative diseases, part 2: Where do we stand and where must we go next? *Neurobiol Dis.* 2017; 97(Pt B):169–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2016.03.026> PMID: 27063797

3. Zhang H, et al. NGF rescues hippocampal cholinergic neuronal markers, restores neurogenesis, and improves the spatial working memory in a mouse model of Huntington's Disease. *J Huntingtons Dis*. 2013; 2(1):69–82. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JHD-120026> PMID: 25063430
4. Keifer OP Jr., et al. Gene and protein therapies utilizing VEGF for ALS. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2014; 141(3):261–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2013.10.009> PMID: 24177067
5. Tovar YRLB, et al. Trophic factors as modulators of motor neuron physiology and survival: implications for ALS therapy. *Front Cell Neurosci*. 2014; 8:61. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00061> PMID: 24616665
6. Kazim SF, Iqbal K. Neurotrophic factor small-molecule mimetics mediated neuroregeneration and synaptic repair: emerging therapeutic modality for Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener*. 2016; 11(1):50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-016-0119-y> PMID: 27400746
7. Lavery R. Catecholamines: role in health and disease. *Drugs*. 1978; 16(5):418–40. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-197816050-00003> PMID: 363400
8. Whone A, et al. Randomized trial of intermittent intraputamenal glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*. 2019; 142(3):512–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz023> PMID: 30808022
9. Hamilton JF, et al. Heparin coinfusion during convection-enhanced delivery (CED) increases the distribution of the glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) ligand family in rat striatum and enhances the pharmacological activity of neurturin. *Exp Neurol*. 2001; 168(1):155–61. <https://doi.org/10.1006/exnr.2000.7571> PMID: 11170730
10. Rider CC. Interaction between glial-cell-line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and 2-O-sulphated heparin-related glycosaminoglycans. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2003; 31(2):337–9. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bst0310337> PMID: 12653632
11. Rider CC. Heparin/heparan sulphate binding in the TGF-beta cytokine superfamily. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2006; 34(Pt 3):458–60. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0340458> PMID: 16709187
12. Alfano I, et al. The major determinant of the heparin binding of glial cell-line-derived neurotrophic factor is near the N-terminus and is dispensable for receptor binding. *Biochem J*. 2007; 404(1):131–40. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20061747> PMID: 17298301
13. Sherer TB, et al. Crossroads in GDNF therapy for Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2006; 21(2):136–41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20861> PMID: 16470786
14. Whone AL, et al. Extended Treatment with Glial Cell Line-Derived Neurotrophic Factor in Parkinson's Disease. *J Parkinsons Dis*. 2019; 9(2):301–13. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-191576> PMID: 30829619
15. Jaumotte JD, et al. Protection of Cultured Dopamine Neurons from MPP Requires a Combination of Neurotrophic Factors. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13252> PMID: 27098376
16. Lindholm P, Saarma M. Novel CDNF/MANF family of neurotrophic factors. *Dev Neurobiol*. 2010; 70(5):360–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.20760> PMID: 20186704
17. Cordero-Llana O, et al. Enhanced efficacy of the CDNF/MANF family by combined intranigral overexpression in the 6-OHDA rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Mol Ther*. 2015; 23(2):244–54. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2014.206> PMID: 25369767
18. Garea-Rodríguez E, et al. Comparative Analysis of the Effects of Neurotrophic Factors CDNF and GDNF in a Nonhuman Primate Model of Parkinson's Disease. *PLoS ONE*. 2016; 11(2):e0149776. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149776> PMID: 26901822
19. Voutilainen MH, et al. Evidence for an Additive Neurorestorative Effect of Simultaneously Administered CDNF and GDNF in Hemiparkinsonian Rats: Implications for Different Mechanism of Action. *eNeuro*. 2017; 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0117-16.2017> PMID: 28303260
20. Mei J, Niu C. Protective and reversal effects of conserved dopamine neurotrophic factor on PC12 cells following 6-hydroxydopamine administration. *Mol Med Rep*. 2015; 12(1):297–302. <https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2015.3388> PMID: 25738732
21. Mei JM, Niu CS. Effects of CDNF on 6-OHDA-induced apoptosis in PC12 cells via modulation of Bcl-2/Bax and caspase-3 activation. *Neurol Sci*. 2014; 35(8):1275–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-014-1700-1> PMID: 24633814
22. Wang L, et al. Recombinant AAV8-mediated intrastratial gene delivery of CDNF protects rats against methamphetamine neurotoxicity. *Int J Med Sci*. 2017; 14(4):340–7. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.18623> PMID: 28553166
23. Lindholm P, et al. Novel neurotrophic factor CDNF protects and rescues midbrain dopamine neurons in vivo. *Nature*. 2007; 448(7149):73–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05957> PMID: 17611540
24. Voutilainen MH, et al. Chronic infusion of CDNF prevents 6-OHDA-induced deficits in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Exp Neurol*. 2011; 228(1):99–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2010.12.013> PMID: 21185834

25. Ren X, et al. AAV2-mediated striatum delivery of human CDNF prevents the deterioration of midbrain dopamine neurons in a 6-hydroxydopamine induced parkinsonian rat model. *Exp Neurol*. 2013; 248:148–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.06.002> PMID: 23764500
26. Airavaara M, et al. CDNF protects the nigrostriatal dopamine system and promotes recovery after MPTP treatment in mice. *Cell Transplant*. 2012; 21(6):1213–23. <https://doi.org/10.3727/096368911X600948> PMID: 21943517
27. Kotzbauer PT, et al. Neurturin, a relative of glial-cell-line-derived neurotrophic factor. *Nature*. 1996; 384(6608):467–70. <https://doi.org/10.1038/384467a0> PMID: 8945474
28. Horger BA, et al. Neurturin exerts potent actions on survival and function of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *J Neurosci*. 1998; 18(13):4929–37. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-13-04929.1998> PMID: 9634558
29. Rosenblad C, et al. Protection and regeneration of nigral dopaminergic neurons by neurturin or GDNF in a partial lesion model of Parkinson's disease after administration into the striatum or the lateral ventricle. *Eur J Neurosci*. 1999; 11(5):1554–66. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.1999.00566.x> PMID: 10215908
30. Oiwa Y, et al. Dopaminergic neuroprotection and regeneration by neurturin assessed by using behavioral, biochemical and histochemical measurements in a model of progressive Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res*. 2002; 947(2):271–83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-8993\(02\)02934-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-8993(02)02934-7) PMID: 12176170
31. Reyes-Corona D, et al. Neurturin overexpression in dopaminergic neurons induces presynaptic and postsynaptic structural changes in rats with chronic 6-hydroxydopamine lesion. *PLoS ONE*. 2017; 12(11):e0188239. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188239> PMID: 29176874
32. Lindholm D, et al. Current disease modifying approaches to treat Parkinson's disease. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-015-2101-1> PMID: 26616211
33. Warren Olanow C, et al. Gene delivery of neurturin to putamen and substantia nigra in Parkinson disease: A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Neurol*. 2015; 78(2):248–57. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24436> PMID: 26061140
34. Bartus RT, et al. Post-mortem assessment of the short and long-term effects of the trophic factor neurturin in patients with alpha-synucleinopathies. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2015; 78:162–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2015.03.023> PMID: 25841760
35. Sandmark J, et al. Structure and biophysical characterization of the human full-length neurturin-GFRα2 complex: A role for heparan sulfate in signaling. *J Biol Chem*. 2018; 293(15):5492–508. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA117.000820> PMID: 29414779
36. Runeberg-Roos P, et al. Developing therapeutically more efficient Neurturin variants for treatment of Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2016; 96:335–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2016.07.008> PMID: 27425888
37. Jaumotte JD, Zigmond MJ. Comparison of GDF5 and GDNF as neuroprotective factors for postnatal dopamine neurons in ventral mesencephalic cultures. *J Neurosci Res*. 2014; 92(11):1425–33. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23425> PMID: 24916473
38. Parmar MS, et al. The role of ERK1, 2, and 5 in dopamine neuron survival during aging. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014; 35(3):669–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.09.031> PMID: 24411019
39. Jackson-Lewis V, Smeyne RJ. MPTP and SNpc DA neuronal vulnerability: role of dopamine, superoxide and nitric oxide in neurotoxicity. Minireview. *Neurotox Res*. 2005; 7(3):193–202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03036449> PMID: 15897154
40. Suzuki K, et al. Effects of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)-like compounds on mitochondrial respiration. *Adv Neurol*. 1990; 53:215–8. PMID: 2122645
41. Boada J, et al. MPP(+)-induced mitochondrial dysfunction is potentiated by dopamine. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2000; 268(3):916–20. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2000.2232> PMID: 10679305
42. Ding YM, et al. Effects of 6-hydroxydopamine on primary cultures of substantia nigra: specific damage to dopamine neurons and the impact of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor. *Journal of Neurochemistry*. 2004; 89(3):776–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.02415.x> PMID: 15086533
43. Defazio G, et al. Manganese toxicity in serumless dissociated mesencephalic and striatal primary culture. *Brain Res Bull*. 1996; 40(4):257–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230\(96\)00041-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(96)00041-x) PMID: 8842409
44. Lee SH, et al. Species differences in functions of dopamine transporter: paucity of MPP⁺ uptake and cocaine binding in bovine dopamine transporter. *Neurosci Lett*. 1996; 214(2–3):199–201. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940\(96\)12913-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(96)12913-x) PMID: 8878118
45. Gonzalez-Hernandez T, et al. Vulnerability of mesostriatal dopaminergic neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Front Neuroanat*. 2010; 4:140. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2010.00140> PMID: 21079748

46. Fernandez A, et al. Construction of bicistronic lentiviral vectors for tracking the expression of CDNF in transduced cells. *Plasmid*. 2014; 76C:15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2014.09.001> PMID: 25217797
47. Parmar MS, et al. ERK1, 2, and 5 expression and activation in dopaminergic brain regions during post-natal development. *Int J Dev Neurosci*. 2015; 46:44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2015.06.009> PMID: 26363522
48. Nair-Roberts RG, et al. Stereological estimates of dopaminergic, GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area, substantia nigra and retrorubral field in the rat. *Neuroscience*. 2008; 152(4):1024–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.01.046> PMID: 18355970
49. Jaumotte JD, Zigmond MJ. Dopaminergic innervation of forebrain by ventral mesencephalon in organotypic slice co-cultures: effects of GDNF. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res*. 2005; 134(1):139–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbrainres.2004.11.018> PMID: 15790537
50. Lam CT, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor promotes tumorigenesis via induction of neovascularization: implication in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2011; 17(10):3123–33. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2802> PMID: 21421859
51. Oka N, et al. VEGF promotes tumorigenesis and angiogenesis of human glioblastoma stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2007; 360(3):553–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.06.094> PMID: 17618600
52. Zihlmann KB, et al. The GDNF family members neurturin, artemin and persephin promote the morphological differentiation of cultured ventral mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons. *Brain Res Bull*. 2005; 68(1–2):42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2004.10.012> PMID: 16325003
53. Creedon DJ, et al. Neurturin shares receptors and signal transduction pathways with glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in sympathetic neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1997; 94(13):7018–23. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.13.7018> PMID: 9192684
54. Akerud P, et al. Differential effects of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and neurturin on developing and adult substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. *J Neurochem*. 1999; 73(1):70–8. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.1999.0730070.x> PMID: 10386956
55. Golden JP, et al. Neurturin and persephin promote the survival of embryonic basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in vitro. *Exp Neurol*. 2003; 184(1):447–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2003.07.999> PMID: 14637114
56. Gould E, Butcher LL. Cholinergic neurons in the rat substantia nigra. *Neurosci Lett*. 1986; 63(3):315–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940\(86\)90377-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(86)90377-0) PMID: 3951755
57. Back S, et al. Gene therapy with AAV2-CDNF provides functional benefits in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Brain Behav*. 2013; 3(2):75–88. <https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.117> PMID: 23532969
58. Nadella R, et al. Transient transfection of human CDNF gene reduces the 6-hydroxydopamine-induced neuroinflammation in the rat substantia nigra. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2014; 11:209. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-014-0209-0> PMID: 25511018
59. Reynolds AD, et al. Neuroprotective activities of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in an animal model of Parkinson's disease. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2007; 82(5):1083–94. <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0507296> PMID: 17675560
60. Grondin R, et al. Intraputamenal infusion of exogenous neurturin protein restores motor and dopaminergic function in the globus pallidus of MPTP-lesioned rhesus monkeys. *Cell Transplant*. 2008; 17(4):373–81. PMID: 18522240
61. Herzog CD, et al. Striatal delivery of CERE-120, an AAV2 vector encoding human neurturin, enhances activity of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal system in aged monkeys. *Mov Disord*. 2007; 22(8):1124–32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21503> PMID: 17443702
62. Fjord-Larsen L, et al. Efficient in vivo protection of nigral dopaminergic neurons by lentiviral gene transfer of a modified Neurturin construct. *Exp Neurol*. 2005; 195(1):49–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2005.03.006> PMID: 15919076
63. Hoane MR, et al. Differential in vivo effects of neurturin and glial cell-line-derived neurotrophic factor. *Exp Neurol*. 1999; 160(1):235–43. <https://doi.org/10.1006/exnr.1999.7175> PMID: 10630208
64. Tseng JL, et al. Neurturin protects dopaminergic neurons following medial forebrain bundle axotomy. *Neuroreport*. 1998; 9(8):1817–22. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199806010-00027> PMID: 9665607
65. Ugarte SD, et al. Effects of GDNF on 6-OHDA-induced death in a dopaminergic cell line: modulation by inhibitors of PI3 kinase and MEK. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*. 2003; 73(1):105–12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.10632> PMID: 12815714

66. Cohen AD, et al. Effects of intrastriatal GDNF on the response of dopamine neurons to 6-hydroxydopamine: time course of protection and neurorestoration. *Brain Res.* 2011; 1370:80–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.11.006> PMID: 21062624
67. Roussa E, et al. TGF-beta promotes survival on mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons in cooperation with Shh and FGF-8. *Neurobiology of Disease.* 2004; 16(2):300–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2004.03.006> PMID: 15193287
68. Sun M, et al. Comparison of the capability of GDNF, BDNF, or both, to protect nigrostriatal neurons in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res.* 2005; 1052(2):119–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2005.05.072> PMID: 16018990
69. Kriegstein K, et al. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor requires transforming growth factor-beta for exerting its full neurotrophic potential on peripheral and CNS neurons. *J Neurosci.* 1998; 18(23):9822–34. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-23-09822.1998> PMID: 9822741
70. Gonzalez-Aparicio R, et al. Antiparkinsonian trophic action of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and transforming growth factor beta1 is enhanced after co-infusion in rats. *Exp Neurol.* 2010; 226(1):136–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2010.08.016> PMID: 20713051
71. Schober A, et al. GDNF applied to the MPTP-lesioned nigrostriatal system requires TGF-beta for its neuroprotective action. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2007; 25(2):378–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2006.10.005> PMID: 17141511
72. Herran E, et al. Increased antiparkinson efficacy of the combined administration of VEGF- and GDNF-loaded nanospheres in a partial lesion model of Parkinson's disease. *Int J Nanomedicine.* 2014; 9:2677–87. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S61940> PMID: 24920904
73. Hunot S, et al. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) gene expression in the human brain: a post mortem in situ hybridization study with special reference to Parkinson's disease. *J Neural Transm.* 1996; 103(8–9):1043–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01291789> PMID: 9013392
74. Parain K, et al. Reduced expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor protein in Parkinson's disease substantia nigra. *Neuroreport.* 1999; 10(3):557–61. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199902250-00021> PMID: 10208589
75. Chauhan NB, et al. Depletion of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in substantia nigra neurons of Parkinson's disease brain. *J Chem Neuroanat.* 2001; 21(4):277–88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-0618\(01\)00115-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-0618(01)00115-6) PMID: 11429269
76. Backman CM, et al. Gene expression patterns for GDNF and its receptors in the human putamen affected by Parkinson's disease: a real-time PCR study. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2006; 252(1–2):160–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2006.03.013> PMID: 16644101
77. Zhang XY, Zhang PY. Combinations in multimodality treatments and clinical outcomes during cancer. *Oncol Lett.* 2016; 12(6):4301–4. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2016.5242> PMID: 28101195
78. Jang B, et al. Dual delivery of biological therapeutics for multimodal and synergistic cancer therapies. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2016; 98:113–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.10.023> PMID: 26654747
79. Rathbun C. Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infection Medscape2018 [updated March 14, 2018. Available from: <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1533218-overview>. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197665> PMID: 29870537
80. Bai XR, et al. Efficacy and safety of tigecycline monotherapy versus combination therapy for the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP): a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Chemother.* 2018; 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1120009X.2018.1425279> PMID: 29405898